A Novel Method For Camera Trapping Small Mammals In Irregularly Flooded Marsh Environments

Melissa A. DeSa, Christa L. Zweig, Rodney Hunt, H. Franklin Percival, and Wiley M. Kitchens University of Florida, Florida Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit

South Eastern Salt Marsh Small Mammals

- Rice rat (Oryzomys palustruis)
- Cotton rat (Sigmodon hispidus)
- Cotton mouse (*Peromyscus* gossypinus)
- Golden mouse (Ochrotomys nuttali)
- Florida salt marsh vole
 (Microtus pennsylvanicus dukecampbelli)



Common Small Mammal Techniques

- Live and snap traps
- Pitfall
- Tracks , scat, hair
- Cameras





Small Mammal Surveys in Tidal Marsh

- Common techniques are challenge because of:
 - Irregular flooding
 - Remote field sites
 - Limited trapping season
 - Mortality
 - Animal disturbance



Small Mammal Surveys in Tidal Marsh



Live Trapping



Camera Traps



Camera Traps



Camera Traps









2011-10-20 6:27:16 PM M 1/3











Bucket Trap Cons

- Initial \$ticker \$hock
- Possible theft
- Identification skills very important
- Reviewing photos is time consuming
- Numerous photos of the same individual
- Occasional technological malfunction
- Cannot do population estimates



Bucket Trap Pros

- Allows for passive surveillance, not time dependent
- Lower labor input
- Lower costs overall
- No mortality
- Additional data stamped on photo
- Open to multiple individuals/species throughout survey
- Rugged
- Scout first, trap later



Acknowledgements

Lower Suwannee NWR Staff |USGS | John Hayes Robert Rose | Billy Brooks | Chuck Hunter | Robert McCleery

